# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

# **STATE ELECTION**

OFFICIAL **EARLY / ABSENTEE** 

ACTON Pcts. 3, 4, 5, 6A

Milians Maure Selleton SECRETARY OF THE CHROMINGEALTH OF MASSACHIZETTS BALLOT Tuesday, November 8, 2022

...

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval 

to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

GOVERNOR and LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR Vote for ONE DIEHL and ALLEN		COUNCILLOR  THER DISTRICT  MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEYDemocratic
HEALEY and DRISCOLL Democratic	$\sim$	18 Visitivation Ave., Waterbarn Canagage to Re-electron 00 NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
REED and EVERETTLibertarian	-	USE BLANK LINE BELDW FOR WRITE-IN
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.		WRITE-IN SPACE DALLY
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT MODILEEX & WORDESTER DISTRICT VOID FOR DISTRICT
		JAMES B. ELDRIDGE
ATTORNEY GENERAL		267 Addreptin St. Action Carocate in Re-election ANTHONY CHRISTAKIS Republican
ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL Democratic		DD NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
JAMES R. McMAHON, III	_	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WHITE-IN.
DO NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE.		WRITE-IN SPACE CHILY
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN		
WRITE-IN SPACE ORLY	0	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT
		DANIEL DA GREE
SECRETARY OF STATE		DAMILLU A. SENA Democratic 64 Corari S. Action Cardicale to Re-election DD NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN Democratic		USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN
49 Lake St. Boston Canocate for Re-election RAYLA CAMPBELL Republican		WAITE-HI SPACE DHLY
397 High St. Whitten JUAN SANCHEZ Green-Reinbow Party		
40 Sutinite St., Hellyole DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE	O	DISTRICE-ATTORNEY
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE II.		Vote for NE
WRITE-IN SPA	9	AA NT. A Dem did C De
		SE MINILLY SELOY OR V TE-IN.
TREASURER		WHITE-IN SPACE ONLY
DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG Democratic	_	
37 Hystop Rd. Brookkre Canadate for Re-electron CHRISTINA CRAWFORD Libertarien	0	SHERIFF
100 Prospect St. Sherborn  00 NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE.	0	MIDDLESEX COUNTY Vale for ONE
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN		PETER J. KOUTOUJIAN
WANTE-IN SPACE CHILY	0	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IM.
		WRITE-IN SPACE DNLY
AUDITOR		
ANTHONY AMORE Pepublican		
247 Washington St. Winchester DIANA DIZOGLIO	0	
30 Olivy St. Methum GLORIA A. CABALLERO-ROCA Green-Reinbow Party	0	
5 Whiting Ave. Holyeke DOMINIC GIANNONE, III Workers Party		
BY Bercherow Are, Weymouth  DANIEL RIEKLibertaries		
9 Breety Pourt, Varrenum  00 NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	$\circ$	
USE OLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	_	
WRITE IN SPACE ONLY	$\circ$	
	i	1
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONCRESS		, .
THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE		
THIRD DISTRICT  ORI LOUREIRO TRAHAN  Democratic  Westirns Way Westing  Carocate for Re-election	0	
LORI LOUREIRO TRAHAN  Weetamon Way Westford  Dean A. TRAN  Milipatri Dr. Finchburg  Milipatri Dr. Finchburg	00	
DERO DISTRICT Vate for ONE ORI LOUREIRO TRAHAN Democratic Westarno Way Westford Carocate for Re-ejection DEAN A. TRAN Republican	00	
THERD DISTRICT  ONE LONI LOUNEIRO TRAHAN  Democratic Westernos Way Westernos Way Westernos Way Westernos  DEAN A. TRAN  Republican  M Tobert Dir. Fectary	0000	
DRAD DISTRICT  Vote for ONE ORAL COURSEINO TRAHAN INVESTOR BY PROPRIES DEATH A. TRANA A LEGGED TO THE WITH SPACE URE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WAYTE-IM.	000	
PARD DISTRICT  Vote for ONE  ORI LOUREIRO TRAHAN  Democratic  Vercarron ther heritas  Carocate by Re-debut  EAN A. TRAN  A labelt Cut-fractur  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE  URE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WATTE-IM.	000	
HARD DISTRICT Vote for ONE  BOTH CURRENO TRAHAH  Democratic  Wrecards the Herbrids  Carocach to The-Herbrid  Lindert Car Fractury  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WATE-IN.	000	

**VOTE BOTH SIDES** 

### **DUESTION 1**

### PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on June 12, 2019 (yeas 147 - nays 48); and again on June 9, 2021 (yeas 159 - nays 41)?

### SUMMARY

This proposed constitutional amendment would establish an additional 4% state income tax on that portion of annual taxable income in excess of \$1 million. This income level would be adjusted annually, by the same method used for lederal income-tax brackets, to reflect increases in the cost of living. Revenues from this tax would be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, for public education, public colleges and universities; and for the repair and maintenance of roads, bridges, and public transportation. The proposed amendment would apply to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2023

A YES VOTE would amend the state Constitution to impose an additional 4% tax on that portion of incomes over one million dollars to be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, on education and transportation

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state Constitution relative to income tax

YES O NO

## LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2022?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would direct the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Division of Insurance to approve or disapprove the rates of dental benefit plans and would require that a dental insurance carrier meet an annual aggregate medical loss ratio for its covered dental benefit plans of 83 percent. The medical loss ratio would measure the amount of premium dollars a dental insurance carrier spends on its members' dental expenses and quality improvements, as opposed to administrative expenses. If a carrier's annual aggregate medical loss ratio is less than 83 percent, the carrier would be required to refund the excess premiums to its covered individuals and groups. The proposed law would allow the Commissioner to waive or adjust the refunds only if it is determined that issuing refunds would result in financial impairment for the carrier.

The proposed law would apply to dental benefit plans regardless of whether they are issued directly by a carrier, through the connector, or through an intermediary. The proposed law would not apply to dental benefit plans issued, delivered, or renewed to a self-insured group or where the carrier is acting as a third-party administrator.

The proposed law would require the carriers offering dental benefit plans to submit information about their current and projected medical loss ratio, administrative expenses, and other financial information to the Commissioner. Each carrier would be required to submit an annual comprehensive limancial statement to the Division of Insurance, itemized by market group size and line of business. A carrier that also provides administrative services to one or more self-insured groups would also be required to file an appendix to their annual financial statement with information about its self-insured business. The proposed

self-insured groups would also be required to me an appendix to trent amount interment with intermetion about its sen-insured outsiness. The proposed law would impose a late penalty on a carrier that does not file its annual report no or before April 1.

The Division would be required to make the submitted data public, to issue an annual summary to certain legislative committees, and to exchange the data with the Health Policy Commission. The Commissioner would be required to adopt standards requiring the registration of persons or entities not otherwise licensed or registered by the Commissioner and criteria for the standardized reporting and uniform allocation methodologies among carriers.

The proposed law would allow the Commissioner to approve denal benefit policies for the purpose of being offered to individuals or groups. The

Commissioner would be required to adopt regulations to determine eligibility criteria.

The proposed law would require carriers to file group product base rates and any changes to group rating factors that are to be effective on January 1 of

each year on or before July 1 of the preceding year. The Commissioner would be required to disapprove any proposed changes to base rates that are excessive, inadequate, or unreasonable in relation to the benefits charged. The Commissioner would also be required to disapprove any change to group rating factors that is discriminatory or not actuarially sound.

The proposed law sets forth criteria that, if met, would require the Commissioner to presumptively disapprove a carrier's rate, including if the aggregate medical loss ratio for all dental benefit plans offered by a carrier is less than 83 percent.

The proposed law would establish procedures to be followed if a proposed rate is presumptively disapproved or if the Commissioner disapproves a rate. The proposed law would require the Division to hold a hearing if a carrier reports a risk-based capital ratio on a combined entity basis that exceeds 700 percent in its annual report.

percent in its annual report.

The proposed law would require the Commissioner to promulgate regulations consistent with its provisions by October 1, 2023. The proposed law would apply to all dental benefit plans issued, made effective, delivered, or renewed on or after January 1, 2024.

A YES VOTE would regulate dental insurance rates, including by requiring companies to spend at least 83% of premiums on member dental expenses and or quality improvements instead of administrative expenses, and by making other changes to dental insurance regulations.

A NO VOTE would make no charging a we relate to the red Chors that by I to a very companies NO

UES (I V.3

Do you approve of a law summan at a way, which not set as fact, by I Se te or the Hous to Senser I before May 3, 2022?

YES ( NO O

SUMMARY

This proposed 'aw would increase the statewide limits on the combined number of licenses for the sale of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption (including licenses for "all alcoholic beverages" and for "wines and malt beverages") that any one retailer could own or control: from 9 to 12 licenses in 2023, to 15 licenses in 2027, and to 18 licenses in 2031.

Beginning in 2023, the proposed law would set a maximum number of "all alcoholic beverages" licenses that any one retailer could own or control at 7 licenses unless a retailer currently holds more than 7 such licenses.

The proposed law would require retailers to conduct the sale of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption through face-to-face transactions and

would prohibit automated or self-checkout sales of alcoholic beverages by such retailers.

The proposed law would alter the calculation of the fine that the Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission may accept in lieu of suspending any license issued under the State Liquor Control Act. The proposed law would alter the calculation of the fine that the Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission may accept in lieu of suspending any license issued under the State Liquor Control Act. The proposed law would modify the formula for calculating such fee from being based on the gross profits on the sale of alcoholic beverages to being based on the gross profits on all retail sales. The proposed law would also add out-of-state motor vehicle licenses to the list of the forms of identification that any holder of a license issued under the

State Liquor Control Act, or their agent or employee, may choose to reasonably rely on for proof of a person's identity and age. A YES VOTE would increase the number of licenses a retailer could have for the sale of alcoholic beverages to be consumed off premises, limit the number of "all-alcoholic beverages" licenses that a retailer could acquire, restrict use of self-checkout, and require retailers to accept customers' out-of-state identification.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing the retail sale of alcoholic beverages.

YES C

NO

## **QUESTION 4**

REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on May 26, 2022?

# SUMMARY

This law allows Massachusetts residents who cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the United States to obtain a standard driver's license or learner's permit if they meet all the other qualifications for a standard license or learner's permit, including a road test and insurance, and provide proof of their identity, date of birth, and residency. The law provides that, when processing an application for such a license or learner's permit or motor vehicle registration, the registrar of motor vehicles may not ask about or create a record of the citizenship or immigration status of the applicant, except as otherwise required by law. This law does not allow people who cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the United States to obtain a REAL ID.

To prove identify and date of birth, the law requires an applicant to present at least two documents, one from each of the following categories: (1) a varid unexpired foreign passport or a valid unexpired Consular Identification document; and (2) a valid unexpired driver's license from any United States state or territory, an original or certified copy of a birth certificate a valid unexpired foreign national identification card, a valid unexpired foreign driver's license, for a marriage certificate or divorce decree issued by any state or territory of the United States. One of the documents presented by an applicant must include a photograph and one must include a date of birth. Any documents not in English must be accompanied by a certified translation. The registrar may review any documents issued by another country to determine whether they may be used as proof of identity or date of birth.

The law requires that applicants for a driver's license or learner's permit shall attest, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that their license has not oeen suspended or revoked in any other state, country or jurisdiction

The law specifies that information provided by or relating to any applicant or license-holder will not be a public record and shall not be disclosed, except as

required by federal law or as authorized by Attorney General regulations, and except for purposes of motor vehicle insurance.

The law directs the registrar of motor vehicles to make regulations regarding the documents required of United States citizens and others who provide proof of lawful presence with their license application.

The law also requires the registrar and the Secretary of the Commonwealth to establish procedures and regulations to ensure that an applicant for a standard driver's license or learner's permit who does not provide proof of lawful presence will not be automatically registered to vote

The law takes effect on July 1, 2023. A YES VOTE would keep in place the 'aw, which would allow Massachusetts residents who cannot provide proof of lawful presence

in the United States to obtain a driver's license or permit if they meet the other requirements for doing so. A NO VOTE would repeal this law

YES

NO

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING